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UCSF Building Seismic Ratings
Langley Porter Psychiatric Hospital and Clinics - Annex, Parnassus Avenue
CAAN\# 2290B
401 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143
UCSF Campus Site: Parnassus


| Rating summary | Entry | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UC Seismic Performance Level (rating) | V | Findings based on a drawing review and ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 evaluation ${ }^{1}$ |
| Rating basis | Tier 1 | ASCE 41-17 |
| Date of rating | 2019 |  |
| Recommended UCSF priority category for retrofit | N/A | Planned for demolition |
| Ballpark total project cost to retrofit to IV rating | N/A |  |
| Is 2018-2019 rating required by UCOP? | Yes | Building is previously rated IV but does not have a fully documented review. |
| Further evaluation recommended? | N/A |  |

[^0]SIMPSON GUMPERTZ \& HEGER

## Building information used in this evaluation

- $\quad$ Structure - Original Building Structural drawings by the California Department of Public Works Division of Architecture, 11 sheets, dated October 25, 1957.


## Additional building information known to exist

- Performance of UCSF Buildings During the October 17, 1989 Loma Prieta Earthquake, Impell Corporation, dated 17 November 1989.


## Scope for completing this form

Reviewed original structural construction drawings and performed an ASCE 41-17 Tier 1 evaluation.

## Brief description of structure

The building is a four-story tall structure occupying approximately $35,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ of floor area with no basement. It is rectangular in plan, with a 45-degree kink at the west side where it interfaces with the existing building. A significant slope exists along the northeast elevation starting halfway between Level 2 and 3, dropping down to Level 1 towards the west side. The seismic base is assumed to align with Level 1 for the analysis. The building was constructed circa 1957.

Identification of Levels: The lowest level is referred to as first floor (Level 1) is on grade, with the second, third, fourth and roof levels above. The second floor is accessed on the east side of the building, while Level 1 is accessible via grade at the north elevation. The roof has two penthouses above the stair and elevator shaft.

Foundation System: The foundations comprise reinforced concrete shallow spread footings below the columns and reinforced concrete strip footings below the walls. The wall strip footing steps down from a high point at the east edge (EL. +400.00 ) to the basement of the adjacent building (EL. +391.50 ) at the west.

Structural System for Vertical (gravity) load: The floor on the north and south side of the central corridor comprises a one-way joist system supporting a 3 in. thick slab. The typical pan width and depth are 30 in . and 12 in. respectively, with rib width of 5.5 in . The joists are supported by concrete walls along the building perimeter and beams on the interior. Beams are supported by columns that are typically spaced at 20 ft on center. Type A columns have \#3 rectangular hoops spaced at 10 in . on center. Type $B$ are similar with an intermediate tie added in the short dimension of the column. The building has a stair shaft from Level 01 to Level 04 at the east end, comprising 8 in. thick concrete walls. An elevator shaft at the west side of the building has 10 in . thick concrete walls.

Structural System for Lateral Loads: The lateral load resisting system comprises steel reinforced concrete perimeter shear walls. Lateral loads are transferred to walls through the slab. The structure is generally symmetric with a continuous perimeter wall system.

## Brief description of seismic deficiencies and Expected Seismic Performance

Identified seismic deficiencies of the building include the following:

- The adjacent building is within 2 in., which is $0.3 \%$ of overall height. This is less than the $1.5 \%$ requirement of the quick checklist at high seismicity zone.
- Reinforced concrete wall shear stress is larger than the greater of 100 psi or $2 \mathrm{Vf}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ with the maximum DCR of 1.7.

The concrete shear wall stresses exceed the quick check allowable stresses, but this neglects the wall reinforcement contribution which is approximately equal to the concrete shear resistance. Additionally, the column shear strengths including the tie reinforcement are adequate to develop the column flexural strengths indicating that the columns will likely maintain gravity-carrying ability.

| Structural deficiency | Affects <br> rating? | Structural deficiency | Affects <br> rating? |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Lateral system stress check (wall shear, <br> column shear or flexure, or brace axial as <br> applicable) | Y | Openings at shear walls (concrete or <br> masonry) | N |
| Load path | N | Liquefaction | N |
| Adjacent buildings | Y | Slope failure | N |
| Weak story | N | Surface fault rupture | N |
| Soft story | N | Masonry or concrete wall anchorage at <br> flexible diaphragm | N |
| Geometry (vertical irregularities) | N | URM wall height-to-thickness ratio | N |
| Torsion | N | URM parapets or cornices | N |
| Mass - vertical irregularity | N | URM chimney | N |
| Cripple walls | N | Heavy partitions braced by ceilings | N |
| Wood sills (bolting) | N |  | N |
| Diaphragm continuity |  |  |  |

## Summary of review of nonstructural life-safety concerns, including at exit routes.

A detailed assessment of nonstructural systems has not been performed, but could be performed as part of a Tier 2 evaluation. No life-safety concerns were observed through the drawing review.

SIMPSON GUMPERTZ \& HEGER

| UCOP non-structural checklist item | Life <br> safety <br> hazard? | UCOP non-structural checklist item | Life <br> safety <br> hazard? |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Heavy ceilings, feature or ornamentation <br> above large lecture halls, auditoriums, lobbies <br> or other areas where large numbers of people <br> congregate | None <br> observed | Unrestrained hazardous materials <br> storage | None <br> observed |
| Heavy masonry or stone veneer above exit <br> ways and public access areas | None <br> observed | Masonry chimneys | None <br> observed |
| Unbraced masonry parapets, cornices or <br> other ornamentation above exit ways and <br> public access areas | None <br> observed | Unrestrained natural gas-fueled <br> equipment such as water heaters, <br> boilers, emergency generators, etc. | None <br> observed |

## Basis of seismic performance level rating

The building rating of V can be attributed to the minimal amount of identified deficiencies and the steeply sloped site that may affect building response when subjected to seismic ground motion.

## Recommendations for further evaluation or retrofit:

The building does not require further evaluation or retrofit.

## Peer review comments on rating

The structural members of the UCSF Seismic Review Committee (SRC) reviewed the evaluation on 8 January 2020 and unanimously concur with the Seismic Performance Level V rating.

| Additional building data | Entry | Notes |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Latitude | $37.7632917^{\circ}$ |  |
| Longitude | $-122.456637^{\circ}$ |  |
| Are there other structures besides <br> this one under the same CAAN\# | Yes | LPPI Original building constructed in 1940 |
| Number of stories above lowest <br> perimeter grade | 4 | There is none below the lowest perimeter |
| Number of stories (basements) |  | grade. However the highest perimeter |
| grade is above 2 |  |  |


| Additional building data | Entry | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site class | C | UCSF Group 3 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| Site class basis | Estimated | UCSF Group 3 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| Site parameters $F_{a}, F_{v}$ | 1.2, 1.4 | UCSF Group 3 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| Ground motion parameters $S_{c s}, S_{c 1}$ | 1.843, 0.847 | UCSF Group 3 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| $S_{a}$ at building period | 1.843 | Calculated |
| Site $V_{s 30}$ | 360 m/s | UCSF Group 2 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| $V_{s 30}$ basis | Estimated | UCSF Group 2 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| Liquefaction potential | No | UCSF Group 2 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| Liquefaction assessment basis | Estimated | UCSF Group 2 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| Landslide potential | No | UCSF Group 2 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| Landslide assessment basis | Sloping Site | Rutherford + Chekene Study, 2006 |
| Active fault-rupture hazard identified at site? | No | UCSF Group 2 Buildings, Geotechnical Characteristic and Geohazards (2019) |
| Site-specific ground motion study? | No |  |
| Applicable code |  |  |
| Applicable code or approx. date of original construction | Original Building Drawings Dated 1957 |  |
| Applicable code for partial retrofit | None | No partial retrofit known |
| Applicable code for full retrofit | None | No full retrofit known |
| Model building data |  |  |
| Model building type North-South | C2 |  |
| Model building type East-West | C2 |  |
| FEMA P-154 score | N/A | Not included here because we performed ASCE 41 Tier 1 evaluation. |
| Previous ratings |  |  |
| Most recent rating | IV | UCSF Building Seismic Survey and Ratings |
| Date of most recent rating | - | 2013 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ most recent rating | - |  |
| Date of $2^{\text {nd }}$ most recent rating | - |  |
| Appendices |  |  |
| ASCE 41 Tier 1 checklist included here? | Yes | Refer to attached checklist file |

## Appendix A

## Drawing Images










## Appendix B

## Checklists

| UC Campus: | Parnassus |  | Date: | 01-08-2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 2290 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Simpson Gumpertz \& Heger |  |  |
| Building Name: | Langley Porter Psychiatric Hospital and Clinics - Annex, Parnassus Avenue |  | Initials: | AS | Checked: | KDP |
| Building Address: | 401 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143 |  | Page: | 1 | of | 3 |
| ASCE 41-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## LOW SEISMICITY

## BUILDING SYSTEMS - GENERAL

|  | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| C NC N/A U <br> © 0 C | LOAD PATH: The structure contains a complete, well-defined load path, including structural elements and connections, that serves to transfer the inertial forces associated with the mass of all elements of the building to the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.1) <br> Comments: Concrete diaphragms transfer loads to the walls, and the walls transfers load to the foundations. |
| C NC N/A U 060 | ADJACENT BUILDINGS: The clear distance between the building being evaluated and any adjacent building is greater than $0.25 \%$ of the height of the shorter building in low seismicity, $0.5 \%$ in moderate seismicity, and $1.5 \%$ in high seismicity. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.2) <br> Comments: 2 inch gap between the two buildings, which is only $0.3 \%$. However the buildings are of same height with same floor elevations. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & C & C \end{array}$ | MEZZANINES: Interior mezzanine levels are braced independently from the main structure or are anchored to the seismic-force-resisting elements of the main structure. (Commentary: Sec. A.2.1.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.1.3) <br> Comments: No mezzanines. |

## BUILDING SYSTEMS - BUILDING CONFIGURATION

|  |  |  | Description |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{N C}$ | $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{U}$ | WEAK STORY: The sum of the shear strengths of the seismic-force-resisting system in any story in each direction is not |
| less than 80\% of the strength in the adjacent story above. (Commentary: Sec. A2.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.2.1) |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: Shear strength in a story is greater or similar to the story above. |  |  |  |  |

Note: C = Compliant NC=Noncompliant $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable U = Unknown


## MODERATE SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW SEISMICITY) <br> GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARD

|  |  | Description |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | NC | $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{U}$ |

Note: C = Compliant NC=Noncompliant $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable U = Unknown

| UC Campus | Parnassus |  | Date: | 13 April 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN | 2290 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Simpson Gumpertz \& Heger |  |  |
| Building Name | Langley Porter Psychiatric Hospital and Clinics - Annex, Parnassus Avenue |  | Initials: | AS | Checked: | KDP |
| Building Address | 401 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143 |  | Page: | 3 | of | 3 |
| ASCE 41-17 <br> Collapse Prevention Basic Configuration Checklist |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MODERATE SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR LOW SEISMICITY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEOLOGIC SITE HAZARD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{N C} & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{U} \\ C & C & C & C \end{array}$ | SURFACE FAULT RUPTURE: Surface fault rupture and surface displacement at the building site are not anticipated (Commentary: Sec. A.6.1.3. Tier 2: 5.4.3.1) <br> Comments: Faults are adequately distant and do not pose a risk at this site. |  |  |  |  |  |

## HIGH SEISMICITY (COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS FOR MODERATE SEISMICITY)

## FOUNDATION CONFIGURATION

|  | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C \text { NC N/A U }$ | OVERTURNING: The ratio of the least horizontal dimension of the seismic-force-resisting system at the foundation level to the building height (base/height) is greater than $0.6 S_{a}$. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.3) <br> Comments: Wall lengths are of adequate length. |
| $C \text { NC N/A U }$ | TIES BETWEEN FOUNDATION ELEMENTS: The foundation has ties adequate to resist seismic forces where footings, piles, and piers are not restrained by beams, slabs, or soils classified as Site Class A, B, or C. (Commentary: Sec. A.6.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.4.3.4) <br> Comments: Site Class C. |

Note: $\mathbf{C}=$ Compliant $\mathbf{N C}=$ Noncompliant $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}=$ Not Applicable $\mathbf{U}=$ Unknown

| UC Campus: | Parnassus |  | Date: | 13 April 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 2290 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Simpson Gumpertz \& Heger |  |  |
| Building Name: | Langley Porter Psychiatric Hospital and Clinics - Annex,Parnassus Avenue |  | Initials: | AS | Checked: | KDP |
| Building Address: | 401 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143 |  | Page: | 1 | of | 3 |
| ASCE 41-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Low And Mod | rate Seismicity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Seismic-Force-Resisting System |  |
|  | Description |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & C & C \end{array}$ | COMPLETE FRAMES: Steel or concrete frames classified as secondary components form a complete vertical-load-carrying system. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.1.6.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.2.5.1) <br> Comments: The joists and beams are supported by walls and columns. |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & C & C \end{array}$ | REDUNDANCY: The number of lines of shear walls in each principal direction is greater than or equal to 2. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.1.1) <br> Comments: There are two lines of shear walls, one at each end on the perimeter. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline \mathbf{C} & N C & \mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{U} \\ \mathrm{C} & - & 0 & C \end{array}$ | SHEAR STRESS CHECK: The shear stress in the concrete shear walls, calculated using the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.3, is less than the greater of $100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}{ }^{2}\left(0.69 \mathrm{MPa}\right.$ ) or $2 \sqrt{ } \mathrm{f}^{\prime}$. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.1) <br> Comments: Maximum shear stress is calculated to be $170 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} 2 \boldsymbol{>} 100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} 2$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & O & C \end{array}$ | REINFORCING STEEL: The ratio of reinforcing steel area to gross concrete area is not less than 0.0012 in the vertical direction and 0.0020 in the horizontal direction. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.1.3) <br> Comments: Typ. vertical and horizontal is 0.003 and 0.0028 for 8 " and 10 " wall respectively. |
| Connections |  |
|  | Description |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & C & C \end{array}$ | WALL ANCHORAGE AT FLEXIBLE DIAPHRAGMS: Exterior concrete or masonry walls that are dependent on flexible diaphragms for lateral support are anchored for out-of-plane forces at each diaphragm level with steel anchors, reinforcing dowels, or straps that are developed into the diaphragm. Connections have strength to resist the connection force calculated in the Quick Check procedure of Section 4.4.3.7. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.1.1) <br> Comments: Diaphragms are concrete. |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | TRANSFER TO SHEAR WALLS: Diaphragms are connected for transfer of seismic forces to the shear walls. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.2) <br> Comments: Joists and beams are connected to the walls. |


| UC Campus: | Parnassus |  | Date: | 13 April 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 2290 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Simpson Gumpertz \& Heger |  |  |
| Building Name: | Langley Porter Psychiatric Hospital and Clinics - Annex, Parnassus Avenue |  | Initials: | AS | Checked: | KDP |
| Building Address: | 401 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143 |  | Page: | 2 | of | 3 |
| Collapse Prevention Structural Checkist For Building Type C2-C2A |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| C | NC | $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{U}$ | FOUNDATION DOWELS: Wall reinforcement is doweled into the foundation with vertical bars equal in size and spacing to <br> the vertical wall reinforcing directly above the foundation. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.5. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.4) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Comments: In typical foundation details, dowel same size and spacing as the vertical wall <br> reinforcement is used. |  |  |  |  |


| High Seismicity (Complete The Following Items In Addition To The Items For Low And Moderate Seismicity) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Seismic-Force-Resisting System |  |
|  | Description |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ - & C & C & C \end{array}$ | DEFLECTION COMPATIBILITY: Secondary components have the shear capacity to develop the flexural strength of the components. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.1.6.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.2.5.2) <br> Comments: $2 \mathrm{Mp} / \mathrm{L}<(\mathrm{Vc}+\mathrm{Vs})$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & \bullet & C \end{array}$ | FLAT SLABS: Flat slabs or plates not part of the seismic-force-resisting system have continuous bottom steel through the column joints. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.1.6.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.2.5.3) <br> Comments: No flat slabs in the building. |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ - & C & 0 & C \end{array}$ | COUPLING BEAMS: The ends of both walls to which the coupling beam is attached are supported at each end to resist vertical loads caused by overturning. (Commentary: Sec. A.3.2.2.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.5.3.2.1) <br> Comments: Walls are supported vertically at the ends and coupling beams aren't true coupling beams, walls are punched with deep "coupling beams." |
| Diaphragms (Stiff Or Flexible) |  |
|  | Description |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & C & C \end{array}$ | DIAPHRAGM CONTINUITY: The diaphragms are not composed of split-level floors and do not have expansion joints. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.1) <br> Comments: Diaphragms are continuous with no steps. |
| $\begin{array}{llll} C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & C & C \end{array}$ | OPENINGS AT SHEAR WALLS: Diaphragm openings immediately adjacent to the shear walls are less than $25 \%$ of the wall length. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.4. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.3) <br> Comments: The elevator opening is not adjacent to shear wall. Stair openings are less than $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$. |


| UC Campus: | Parnassus |  | Date: | 13 April 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building CAAN: | 2290 | Auxiliary CAAN: | By Firm: | Simpson Gumpertz \& Heger |  |  |
| Building Name: | Langley Porter Psychiatric Hospital and Clinics - Annex,Parnassus Avenue |  | Initials: | AS | Checked: | KDP |
| Building Address: | 401 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143 |  | Page: | 3 | of | 3 |
| ASCE 41-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Flexible Diap | ragms |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Description |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & \bullet & C \end{array}$ | CROSS TIES: There are continuous cross ties between diaphragm chords. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.1.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.1.2) Comments: Diaphragms are concrete. |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & \bullet & C \end{array}$ | STRAIGHT SHEATHING: All straight-sheathed diaphragms have aspect ratios less than 2-to-1 in the direction being considered. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) <br> Comments: Diaphragms are concrete. |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \hline C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & \bullet & C \end{array}$ | SPANS: All wood diaphragms with spans greater than $24 \mathrm{ft}(7.3 \mathrm{~m})$ consist of wood structural panels or diagonal sheathing. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.2. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) <br> Comments: Diaphragms are concrete. |
| $\begin{array}{llcc} C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & \bullet & 0 \end{array}$ | DIAGONALLY SHEATHED AND UNBLOCKED DIAPHRAGMS: All diagonally sheathed or unblocked wood structural panel diaphragms have horizontal spans less than $40 \mathrm{ft}(12.2 \mathrm{~m})$ and aspect ratios less than or equal to 4 -to-1. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.2.3. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.2) <br> Comments: Diaphragms are concrete. |
| $\begin{array}{cccc} C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & O & O \end{array}$ | OTHER DIAPHRAGMS: Diaphragms do not consist of a system other than wood, metal deck, concrete, or horizontal bracing. (Commentary: Sec. A.4.7.1. Tier 2: Sec. 5.6.5) <br> Comments: Diaphragms are concrete. |
| Connections |  |
| Description |  |
| $\begin{array}{llll} C & N C & N / A & U \\ C & C & \bullet & O \end{array}$ | UPLIFT AT PILE CAPS: Pile caps have top reinforcement, and piles are anchored to the pile caps. (Commentary: Sec. A.5.3.8. Tier 2: Sec. 5.7.3.5) <br> Comments: Foundations are shallow. |

## Appendix C

## Tier 1 Calculations

SIMPSON GUMPERTZ \& HEGER
| Engineering of Structures
and Building Enclosures
SHEET NO. $\qquad$
PROJECT NO. 197042.00
DATE $\qquad$ 11.18.2019
CHECKED BY $\qquad$ KDP

| CLIENT | UCSF |
| :--- | :--- |
| sUbJect | LPPI - 4 Story Annex: Flat Load |



MINIMUM UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LIVE LOADS, $L_{o}$, AND MINIMUM CONCENTRATED LIVE LOADS ${ }^{9}$

| AND MINIMUM CONCENTRATED LIVE LOADS ${ }^{\mathbf{9}}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| OCCUPANCY OR USE | UNIFORM <br> (psf) | CONCENTRATED <br> (Ibs.) |
| 17. Hospitals |  |  |
| Corridors above first floor | 80 | 1,000 |
| Operating rooms, laboratories | 60 | 1,000 |
| Patient rooms | 40 | 1,000 |



Engineering of Structures and Building Enclosures
CLIENT UCSF
SUBJECT LPPI-4 story annex: Typ Slab weight

| SHEET NO. |
| :--- |
| PROJECT NO. |
| 197042.00 |

DATE $\quad 11 / 18 / 2019$
BY AS
CHECKED KDP
Slab Effective Weight

| Floor Type | Effective Thickness (in) | Net Weight (psf) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Type A (J1, J2, J3, J4, J5) | 5.1 | 63.2 |
| Type B (J6) | 6.7 | 84.0 |
| Type C (Type A - 5" slab) | 7.1 | 88.2 |
| Type 1 (S7) | 4.5 | 56.3 |
| Type 2 (S2, 3, 6) | 5.0 | 62.5 |
| Type 3 (S1, 4, 5) | 5.5 | 68.8 |



| Floor | Floor slab type | Net Area sq. ft. | Net weight psf | Total weight kips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floor 2 | Type A | 4478 | 63.2 | 283 |
|  | Type B | 0 | 84.0 | 0 |
|  | Type C | 255 | 88.2 | 22 |
|  | Type 1 | 0 | 56.3 | 0 |
|  | Type 2 | 432.8 | 62.5 | 27 |
|  | Type 3 | 2261 | 68.8 | 155 |
|  |  | Length (ft) | Weight (plf) |  |
|  | Bridging | 226 | 45.3 | 10 |
|  | Int. beams | 421 | 300.0 | 126 |
|  | SUM | 7427 |  | 624 |
| Floor 3 | Type A | 4576 | 63.2 | 289 |
|  | Type B | 0 | 84.0 | 0 |
|  | Type C | 157.5 | 88.2 | 14 |
|  | Type 1 | 0 | 56.3 | 0 |
|  | Type 2 | 432.8 | 62.5 | 27 |
|  | Type 3 | 2261 | 68.8 | 155 |
|  |  | Length (ft) | Weight (plf) |  |
|  | Bridging | 226 | 45.3 | 10 |
|  | Int. beams | 421 | 300.0 | 126 |
|  | SUM | 7427 |  | 622 |
| Floor 4 | Type A | 3844 | 63.2 | 243 |
|  | Type B | 154 | 84.0 | 13 |
|  | Type C | 735 | 88.2 | 65 |
|  | Type 1 | 0 | 56.3 | 0 |
|  | Type 2 | 432.8 | 62.5 | 27 |
|  | Type 3 | 2261 | 68.8 | 155 |
|  | 10' cantilever | 549 | 93.8 | 514 |
|  |  | Length (ft) | Weight (plf) |  |
|  | Bridging | 226 | 45.3 | 10 |
|  | Int. beams | 421 | 300.0 | 126 |
|  | SUM | 7976 |  | 1154 |
| Roof | Type A | 4579 | 63.2 | 289 |
|  | Type B | 154 | 84.0 | 13 |
|  | Type C | 0 | 88.2 | 0 |
|  | Type 1 | 0 | 56.3 | 0 |
|  | Type 2 | 614 | 62.5 | 38 |
|  | Type 3 | 2080 | 68.8 | 143 |
|  | Penthouse |  |  | 40 |
|  |  | Length (ft) | Weight (plf) |  |
|  | Bridging | 226 | 45.3 | 10 |
|  | Int. beams | 421 | 300.0 | 126 |
|  | SUM | 7427 |  | 660 |


| \|otal floor area | 30257 sq.ft. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total floor weight | 3060 kip |



| Partition <br> psf | MEP <br> psf | Floor finish and Misc <br> psf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 74 | 37 | 37 |


| Partition <br> psf | MEP <br> psf | Floor finish and Misc <br> psf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 74 | 37 | 37 |


| Partition <br> psf | MEP <br> psf | Floor finish and Misc <br> psf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 80 | 40 | 40 |


| Partition <br> psf | MEP <br> psf | Floor finish and Misc <br> psf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 37 | 37 | 37 |


| Partition | MEP | Floor finish and Misc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 265 | 151 | 151 |



Calculations below are used to estimate unit weight of walls per foot

| Level | exterior wall elevation | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { avg height } \\ \text { ft } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Gross vol wall cu.ft | openings <br> cu.ft | net weight kip | unit load kip/ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Typical | punched wall | 11.5 | 95.8 | 19.5 | 11.5 | 1.15 |
|  | 10" wall | 11.5 | 95.8 | 0 | 14.4 | 1.44 |
|  | 8" wall | 11.5 | 76.7 | 0 | 11.5 | 1.15 |
| Roof | punched wall | 8.75 | 72.9 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 0.95 |
|  | 10" wall | 8.75 | 72.9 | 0 | 10.9 | 1.09 |
|  | 8" wall | 8.75 | 58.3 | 0 | 8.8 | 0.88 |
| Column |  | Level | Height | Cross section | net weight |  |
|  |  |  | ft | sq.ft. | kip per Col |  |
|  |  | Typ | 11.5 | 1.56 | 2.68 |  |
|  |  | Roof | 8.75 | 1.17 | 1.53 |  |

Using the UDL, below is the self weight of walls and columns



SIMPSON GUMPERTZ \& HEGER
Engineering of Structures
and Building Enclosures
CLIENT UCSF
SUBJECT LPPI-4 Story Annex: General building information

| SHEET NO. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT NO. | 197042.00 |
| DATE | 11/19/2019 |
| BY | AS |
| CHECKED | KDP |


| General Building Information |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Value | Units | Reference Document |
| Total building height | 46.0 | ft | Including penthouse |
| Effective Seismic Weight | 6295 | kips |  |
| Compliance (per CBC) |  |  | 2016 CBC 3412A.2.3 |
| Structural Performance Level | S-5 | BSE - C | 2019 CBC Table 317.5 |
| Non-structural | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}$ |  |  |
| Lateral System per ASCE 41 | C 2 |  |  |
| Risk Category | III |  | CBC 1604.5 |
| S XS, BSE-C | 1.843 | g |  |
| S $_{\text {X1, BSE-c }}$ | 0.847 | g |  |
| Site Class | C |  |  |
| Ct | 0.02 |  |  |
| beta | 0.75 |  |  |
| height | 46 | ft | Including penthouse |
| Time Period T | 0.35 | s |  |
| Sa | 1.843 | g |  |
| C | 1 |  | ASCE 41-17, Table 4-7 |
| Base Shear | 11601 | kips | Base Shear |


| Floor | Wi <br> kip | $\mathbf{( h i )}^{\mathbf{k}}$ <br> $\mathbf{f t}$ | Wi (hi) $^{\mathbf{k}}$ | Cvi | Fi <br> $\mathbf{k i p}$ | Vi <br> kip |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1318 | 11.5 | 15155.5 | 0.21 | 2,429 | 2,429 |
| Floor 4 | 2052 | 11.5 | 23601.8 | 0.33 | 3,782 | 6,211 |
| Floor 3 | 1455 | 11.5 | 16734.9 | 0.23 | 2,682 | 8,893 |
| Floor 2 | 1469 | 11.5 | 16895.1 | 0.23 | 2,708 | 11,601 |

*K = 1 for 6 stories or lower per 4.4.2.2

| Engineering of Structures
and Building Enclosures
CLIENT UCSF
SUBJECT LPPI-4 Story Annex: General building information

| SHEET NO. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PROJECT NO. | 197042.00 |
| DATE | 11/19/2019 |
| BY | AS |
| CHECKED | KDP |

Shear Stress in Shear Walls
per ASCE 41-17 4.4.3.3 Ms 4.5

| Floor | Story Shere at level $\mathrm{j}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ kips | N-S Loading |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area | $v_{j}{ }^{\text {avg }}$ |
|  |  | sq.ft | ksi |
| Roof | 2,429 | 84.8 | 0.04 |
| Floor 4 | 6,211 | 98.3 | 0.10 |
| Floor 3 | 8,893 | 89.6 | 0.15 |
| Floor 2 | 11,601 | 103.1 | 0.17 |


| E-W Loading |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| length of wall | $v_{j}^{\text {avg }}$ |
| ft | ksi |
| 113 | 0.03 |
| 124 | 0.08 |
| 113 | 0.12 |
| 190 | 0.09 |


| SIMPSON GUMPERTZ \& HEGER | SHEET NO. | 197042.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROJECT NO |  |
| Engineering of Structures and Building Enclosures | DATE | 11/19/2019 |
| CLIENT UCSF | BY | AS |
| SUBJECT LPPI - 4 story Annex: Columns | CHECKED | KDP |

## Column Shear Capacity Check

## Square Columns

| side <br> in | vertical bars | bar size <br> in | Mpr <br> k-ft | 2Mp/L | Vc <br> kips | Av <br> sq in | spacing <br> in | Vs <br> kips | V <br> kips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 4 | 1.25 | 115 | 24.2 | 16.1 | 0.22 | 10 | 10.2 | 26.3 |
| 12 | 4 | 1.125 | 71 | 14.9 | 10.2 | 0.22 | 10 | 8.7 | 18.9 |





[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The evaluations at UCSF translate the Tier 1 evaluation to a Seismic Performance Level rating using professional judgment discussed among the Seismic Review Committee. Non-compliant items in the Tier 1 evaluation do not automatically put a building into a particular rating category, but such items are evaluated along with the combination of building features and potential deficiencies, focused on the potential for collapse or serious damage to the gravity supporting structure that may threaten occupant safety.

