



**FORM 1**  
**CERTIFICATE OF SEISMIC PERFORMANCE LEVEL**

- UC-Designed & Constructed Facility  
 Campus-Acquired or Leased Facility

**BUILDING DATA**

Building Name: 2130 Post Street  
Address: 2130 Post Street, San Francisco 94115  
Site location coordinates: Latitude 37.7849 Longitudinal -122.4370

**UCOP SEISMIC PERFORMANCE LEVEL (OR "RATING"): IV**

ASCE 41-17 Model Building Type:  
a. Longitudinal Direction: C2: Concrete Shear Walls  
b. Transverse Direction: C2: Concrete Shear Walls

Gross Square Footage: 97,000  
Number of stories *above* grade: 7  
Number of basement stories *below* grade: 1

Year Original Building was Constructed: 1969  
Original Building Design Code & Year: UBC-1967  
Retrofit Building Design Code & Code (if applicable): ASCE 41-13

**SITE INFORMATION**

Site Class: D Basis: Langan, 3/7/2019  
Geologic Hazards:  
Fault Rupture: No Basis: Langan, 3/7/2019  
Liquefaction: No Basis: Langan, 3/7/2019  
Landslide: No Basis: Langan, 3/7/2019

**ATTACHMENT**

Original Structural Drawings: Convalescent Hospital by L.F. Robinson and Associates Structural Engineers (S1-S15) dated September 3, 1968  
Renovation Drawings: University of the Pacific Student Housing by Leong/Razzano & Associates, Inc. (S1) dated November 9, 1981  
Seismic Evaluation: Interim Seismic Review 2130 Post Street, by Estructure, dated 1/19/2018 (ASCE 41-13 Tier 2)  
Retrofit Structural Drawings: UCSF 2130 Post Street, Tipping Structural Engineers, (S1.1-S5.2, 26 sheets) dated 10/15/2019. Sheet S1.2 attached  
Retrofit Structural Calculations: UCSF 2130 Post Street, Tipping Structural Engineers, dated 10/29/2018. Excerpt of seismic design parameters attached.



## CERTIFICATION & PRESUMPTIVE RATING VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, **Maryann T. Phipps**, a California-licensed structural engineer, am responsible for the completion of this certificate, and I have no ownership interest in the property identified above. My scope of review to support the completion of this certificate included both of the following (“No” responses must include an explanation):

- a) the review of structural drawings indicating that they are as-built or record drawings, or that they otherwise are the basis for the construction of the building:  Yes  No
- b) visiting the building to verify the observable existing conditions are reasonably consistent with those shown on the structural drawings:  Yes  No

Based on my review, I have verified that the UCOP Seismic Performance Level (SPL) is presumptively permitted by the following UC Seismic Program Guidebook provision (choose one of the following):

- 1) Contract documents indicate that the original design and construction of the aforementioned building is in accordance with the benchmark design code year (or later) building code seismic design provisions for UBC or IBC listed in Table 1 below.
- 2) The existing SPL rating is based on an acceptable basis of seismic evaluation completed in 2006 or later.
- 3) Contract documents indicate that a comprehensive<sup>1</sup> building seismic retrofit design was fully-constructed with an engineered design based on the 1997 UBC/1998 **or later** CBC, and (choose one of the following):
  - the retrofit project was completed by the UC campus. Further, the design was based on ground motion parameters, at a minimum, corresponding to BSE-1E (or BSE-R) and BSE-2E (or BSE-C) as defined in ASCE 41, or the full design basis ground motion required in the 1997 UBC/1998 CBC **or later** for EXISTING buildings, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of IV.
  - the retrofit project was completed by the UC campus. Further, the design was based on ground motion parameters, at a minimum, corresponding to BSE-1 (or BSE-1N) and BSE-2 (or BSE-2N) as defined in ASCE 41, or the full design basis ground motion required in the 1997 UBC/1998 **or later** CBC for NEW buildings, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of III.
  - the retrofit project was not completed by the UC campus following UC policies, and is presumptively assigned an SPL rating of IV.

---

<sup>1</sup> A comprehensive retrofit addresses the entire building structural system as indicated by the associated seismic evaluation, as opposed to addressing selective portions of the structural system.



### Basis of Presumptive Rating

A comprehensive retrofit was undertaken, which included the addition of new reinforced concrete shear walls and collectors, fiber wrap of gravity columns and protection against punching shear failure. Performance objectives for the retrofit were consistent with UC Seismic Policy Seismic Performance Level IV. BSC-C and BSE-R ground motion parameters were reviewed by John Egan. The design was peer reviewed by Estructure and Maffei Structural Engineers.

Campus: UCSF  
Building Name: 2130 Post Street  
CAAN ID: 3113  
Auxiliary Building ID: NA



UNIVERSITY  
OF  
CALIFORNIA

Date: 7/30/2020

**CERTIFICATION SIGNATURE**

AFFIX SEAL HERE

Maryann T. Phipps  
Print Name

President  
Title

S2995  
CA Professional Registration No.

6/30/2022  
License Expiration Date

*Maryann T. Phipps*  
Signature

7/30/2020  
Date



7/30/2020

Estructure, (510) 235-3116, 1144 65th St Suite A, Oakland  
Firm Name, Phone Number, and Address



**Table 1: Benchmark Building Codes and Standards**

Building Type <sup>a,b</sup>	Building Seismic Design Provisions	
	UBC	IBC
Wood frame, wood shear panels (Types W1 and W2)	1976	2000
Wood frame, wood shear panels (Type W1a)	1976	2000
Steel moment-resisting frame (Types S1 and S1a)	1997	2000
Steel concentrically braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	1997	2000
Steel eccentrically braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	1988 <sup>g</sup>	2000
Buckling-restrained braced frame (Types S2 and S2a)	<sup>f</sup>	2006
Metal building frames (Type S3)	<sup>f</sup>	2000
Steel frame with concrete shear walls (Type S4)	1994	2000
Steel frame with URM infill (Types S5 and S5a)	<sup>f</sup>	2000
Steel plate shear wall (Type S6)	<sup>f</sup>	2006
Cold-formed steel light-frame construction—shear wall system (Type CFS1)	1997 <sup>h</sup>	2000
Cold-formed steel light-frame construction—strap-braced wall system (Type CFS2)	<sup>f</sup>	2003
Reinforced concrete moment-resisting frame (Type C1) <sup>i</sup>	1994	2000
Reinforced concrete shear walls (Types C2 and C2a)	1994	2000
Concrete frame with URM infill (Types C3 and C3a)	<sup>f</sup>	<sup>f</sup>
Tilt-up concrete (Types PC1 and PC1a)	1997	2000
Precast concrete frame (Types PC2 and PC2a)	<sup>f</sup>	2000
Reinforced masonry (Type RM1)	1997	2000
Reinforced masonry (Type RM2)	1994	2000
Unreinforced masonry (Type URM)	<sup>f</sup>	<sup>f</sup>
Unreinforced masonry (Type URMa)	<sup>f</sup>	<sup>f</sup>
Seismic isolation or passive dissipation	1991	2000

Note: This table has been adapted from ASCE 41-17 Table 3-2. Benchmark Building Codes and Standards for Life Safety Structural Performed at BSE-1E.

Note: UBC = Uniform Building Code. IBC = International Building Code.

<sup>a</sup> Building type refers to one of the common building types defined in Table 3-1 of ASCE 41-17.

<sup>b</sup> Buildings on hillside sites shall not be considered Benchmark Buildings.

<sup>c</sup> not used

<sup>d</sup> not used

<sup>e</sup> not used

<sup>f</sup> No benchmark year; buildings shall be evaluated in accordance with Section III.J.

<sup>g</sup> Steel eccentrically braced frames with links adjacent to columns shall comply with the 1994 UBC Emergency Provisions, published September/October 1994, or subsequent requirements.

<sup>h</sup> Cold-formed steel shear walls with wood structural panels only.

<sup>i</sup> Flat slab concrete moment frames shall not be considered Benchmark Buildings.

## Design basis and ground motion selection - Proposed approach

The required basis of design is UC Seismic Safety Policy performance level IV. The Seismic Safety Policy references CBC performance criteria; 2016 CBC criteria for existing State-Owned buildings are summarized below for two sets of analyses (Level 1 and Level 2):

### *Performance Requirements*

<i>Performance Level</i>	<i>IV</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Level 1 hazard	BSE-R	20% in 50 years
Level 1 structural performance	S-3, Life safety	
Level 1 non-structural performance	N-C, Life safety	
Level 2 hazard	BSE-C	5% in 50 years
Level 2 structural performance	S-5, Collapse prevention	
Level 2 non-structural performance	N-D, Not considered	

Hazard levels, prescriptive design spectra, and acceptance criteria for life safety and collapse prevention performance levels are defined in ASCE41-13.

Our analysis will be based on the following:

1. Nonlinear response history analysis is the primary design basis.
2. Response spectrum analysis during schematic design to provide a performance benchmark for building drift and initial wall proportioning.
3. **Ground motion selection and scaling** based on ASCE41-17, which generally refers to ASCE7-16 but modifies the period range of interest.
  1. 11 ground motions, amplitude scaled (we will document and present the dispersion of ground motions for review).
  2. Period range from  $0.2T_{min}$  to  $1.5T_{max}$ , where  $T_{min}$  and  $T_{max}$  are the smallest and largest first mode periods in the two principal horizontal directions.
  3. Scale ground motions so that the average maximum-direction spectrum from all ground motions generally matches or exceeds the target spectrum and does not fall below 90% of the target spectrum within the period range.
  4. Ground motion scaling will be based on the BSE-R spectrum. The same group of ground motions will be scaled up to match the BSE-C spectrum.

Per direction from the SRC:

1. The design spectra will be based on mapped ASCE41-17 design values.
2. Spectra will be developed using  $F_a$  and  $F_v$  values from ASCE7-16 (referenced in ASCE41-17). However, the footnote in ASCE7-16 referring to Section 11.4.8 will be deleted.

## Response Spectra

Seismic Response Parameters:

$$SC = D \quad \text{Site Class}$$

$$F_a = \text{if } SC = D \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{interpbl} (tblSiteCoeff, S_S, S_S, F_a) \\ \text{Error} \end{array} \right.$$

$$F_v = \text{if } SC = D \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{interpbl} (tblSiteCoeff, S_I, S_I, F_v) \\ \text{Error} \end{array} \right.$$

$$S_{XS} = F_a \cdot S_S$$

$$S_{XI} = F_v \cdot S_I$$

$$T_S = \frac{S_{XI}}{S_{XS}}$$

$$T_0 = 0.2 \cdot T_S$$

$$T_L = 12$$

tblSiteCoeff (Site class D)

$S_S$	$F_a$	$S_I$	$F_v$
0.25	1.6	0.1	2.4
0.5	1.4	0.2	2.2
0.75	1.2	0.3	2
1	1.1	0.4	1.9
1.25	1	0.5	1.8
1.5	1	0.6	1.7
3	1	3	1.7

Parameters (Except BSE-1N)

Hazard	$S_S$	$S_I$	$F_a$	$F_v$	$S_{XS}$	$S_{XI}$	$T_S$	$T_0$	Notes
BSE-R	0.738	0.266	1.210	2.068	0.893	0.550	0.616	0.123	20% in 50 years
BSE-C	1.428	0.556	1.000	1.744	1.428	0.970	0.679	0.136	5% in 50 years

Note:  $S_S$  and  $S_I$  are mapped USGS spectral values for ASCE41-17.

Spectra per ASCE41:

$$\beta = 0.05 \quad (\text{damping})$$

$$B_1 = \frac{4}{5.6 - \ln(100 \cdot \beta)} \rightarrow 1$$

$$S_a = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T \leq T_0: S_{XS} \cdot \left( \left( \frac{5}{B_1} - 2 \right) \cdot \frac{T}{T_S} + 0.4 \right) \\ \text{and } (T > T_0, T \leq T_S): \frac{S_{XS}}{B_1} \\ \text{and } (T > T_S, T \leq T_L): \frac{S_{XI}}{B_1 \cdot T} \\ T > T_L: \frac{T_L \cdot S_{XI}}{B_1 \cdot T^2} \end{array} \right.$$

These values are consistent with the values for this site summarized in the geotechnical and geohazards characterization Table I developed for for UCSF buildings by John Egan; excerpted values for 2130 Post Street are shown below.

BSE-C <sup>(oo)</sup>			BSE-R <sup>(oo)</sup>		
$S_S = 1.433$	$F_a = 1.000$	$S_{CS} = 1.433$	$S_S = 0.738$	$F_a = 1.209$	$S_{RS} = 0.893$
$S_I = 0.558$	$F_v = 1.742$	$S_{CI} = 0.972$	$S_I = 0.266$	$F_v = 2.069$	$S_{RI} = 0.550$

Excerpt from TSE Structural Calculations

